EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

February 13, 2003

RECEIVED

FEB 2 5 2003

Honorable Michael K. Powell, Chairman Honorable Kathleen Abernathy, Commissioner Honorable Mich ael Copps, Commissioner Honorable Kevin Martin, Commissioner Honorable Jonathw Adel stein, Commissioner Federal Communications Commission

445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554 Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

Re: Ex Parte

CC Docket Nos. 01-338, 96-98, and 98-147

Dear Chairm an Powell and Commissioners:

I, the undersigned chief executive officer of a competitive provider of local telecommunic ations services, have reviewed the network element unbundling principles and standards set forth by the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissione rs ("NARUC") in their February 6, 2003 letter tikd in this proceedin g. I am wiling to express my full and unequivocal support for the NAR UC frame work.

Our industry has investe d billions of dollars in infrastructur e, and have led the way in deploying innovative local telecom munications services to millions of consumers throughout the United States. Our business plans have been developed in reliance upon the twin promises of the 1996 Telecommunications Act and state and federal unbundling rules. I believe that the NARUC framework would allow our industry a fair and reasonable chance to continue to provide competitive offerings to the millions of residences and small busine ss consume rs that have come to rely upon them. By adopting the NARUC framework, the Commission can achieve its complementar y objectives of establishing a pro-competitive deregulatory unbundling framework and creating an unbundling regime that complies with the D.C. Circuit's decision in USTA," which demands that the Commission's unbundlin g rules be the result of a fact-spe cific inquiry.

The NARUC framework calls for the Commission to promulg ate the baseline Section 251 impairment test applicable to all elements. State commissions, in turn, will be charged with applying the Commission's impairment standard to all elements, and must remove from the list

See Letter from David Svanda, NARUC President and Michigan Commissi oper, et al. to Chairman Powell (Feb. 6,2003)

USTAv. **FCC**, 290 F.3d 415, 422 (D.C. Cir. 2002) ("USTA).

RECEIVED

FEB 2 8 2003

Honorable Michael K. Powell, et al February 11, 2003 Page 2

Feder El Communications Commission Office of Geometry

those UNEs where it is demonstrated that no impairment exists. By pmperly placing the fact-finding and decision-making burdens upon state commissions, the NARUC framework allows the Commission to respond appropriately to both the Court of Appeals in USTA, and the Supreme Court's decision in Verizon. Those decisions require that the Commission adopt an impairment standard that allows for detailed, fact-bawd application of the impairment factors rather than a uniform national rule that applies in every geographic market and customer class. The NARUC framework allows state commissions to assess impairment on amarket-by-market basis, and tailor the availability of specific network elements—or any necessary transition process—where the state commission finds that market conditions dictate that an element should be removed. Accordingly, the regime contemplated by NARUC ensures that competitive conditions most conducive to continued facilities investment and vibrant competition are fostered.

At bottom, the NARUC framework will promote the continue d growth and expansion of local competition by ensurin g that innovative services are available to all consumers — including mass-market residential and small business customers — throu ghout the country. Any plan that would adopt a "one size fits all" national unbundling regime would not only be contrary to the requirements of USTA, but would effectively unhinge the efforts of entrepreneurs and innovators in the competitive telecom sector.

Accordingly, we respectfully urge you to adopt the compromise framework submitted by NARUC on February 6.

Sincerel y,